

REMARKS

Claims 1-12 are pending in the present application. In the Office Action, the Examiner rejected Claim 11 under 35 U.S.C. §102(b) as being anticipated by U.S. Patent No. 5,978,589 (Yoon) and rejected Claim 12 under 35 U.S.C. §103(a) as being unpatentable over Yoon in view of U.S. Patent No. 6,751,658 (Haun).

It is gratefully acknowledged that Claims 1-10 have been allowed.

Regarding the rejection of independent Claim 11, the Examiner states that Yoon teaches each and every element of the claim. After reviewing the cited reference, it is respectfully submitted that the Examiner is incorrect. Yoon teaches a loading method of a base station in a digital cellular system. This loading method allegedly reduces the time needed to normally load (e.g., transfer data to processors) and operate an entire system even if there are a large number of processors. Moreover, the system in Yoon teaches a multi-tier loading system wherein lower processors request files from upper processors. In other words, Yoon teaches a multi-tier processor system that transfers requested files in attempt to enhance the speed and efficiency of a mobile communication system. The

acknowledges that Yoon does not teach or suggest each of the plurality of target boards is assigned an identification (ID) code (e.g., see Office Action, Page 3).

In contrast to that which is taught by Yoon, Claim 11 includes the recitation of wherein the control unit stores an execution file compiled to contain program code to operate each of the plurality of target boards according to a target board identification (ID), which is neither taught nor suggested by Yoon. Accordingly, it is respectfully requested that the rejection under 35 U.S.C. §102(b) of Claim 11 be withdrawn.

Independent Claims 11 is believed to be in condition for allowance. Without conceding the patentability per se of dependent Claim 12, this Claim is believed to be allowable by virtue of its dependence on independent Claim 11. Accordingly, reconsideration and withdrawal of the rejection of dependent Claim 12 is respectfully requested.

Accordingly, all of the claims pending in the Application, namely, Claims 1-12, are believed to be in condition for allowance. Should the Examiner believe that a telephone conference or personal interview would facilitate resolution of any remaining

matters, the Examiner may contact Applicant's attorney at the number given below.

Respectfully submitted,



Paul J. Farrell
Reg. No. 33,494
Attorney for Applicant

DILWORTH & BARRESE, LLP
333 Earle Ovington Blvd.
Uniondale, New York 11553
Tel: (516) 228-8484
Fax: (516) 228-8516

PJF/VAG/ml